



## NEWS RELEASE

---

CONTACT: **FREDERICK M. HERRMANN, Ph.D.**  
**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**  
(609) 292-8700

**FOR RELEASE: IMMEDIATELY**  
**May 22, 1989**

Frederick M. Herrmann, Executive Director of the Election Law Enforcement Commission, announced today that preliminary figures based upon the 29-day preelection reports indicate that candidates for the General Assembly have raised \$958,525 and spent \$413,960 on their campaigns through May 8, 1989, with incumbent candidates raising and spending 91 percent of the funds.

Herrmann said that financial activity is "definitely rising" each legislative election year. He said that this pattern becomes clear when comparing receipt and expenditure totals during successive Assembly primary elections over the last four years.

"For instance," said Herrmann, "receipts rose by 29 percent, \$545,572 to \$704,786 between 1985 and 1987 and another 36 percent, \$704,786 to \$958,525 between 1987 and 1989."

"As might be expected," said Herrmann, "spending has been steadily escalating as well." In 1985, Assembly candidates spent \$227,038. Just two years later, that figure rose 22 percent to \$277,390. In 1989, spending increased by 49 percent over 1987, \$277,390 to \$413,960.

According to Herrmann, of the \$958,525 raised by all candidates running for a seat in the General Assembly, \$868,534 or 91 percent was raised by incumbent members.

"In the 1989 race," said Herrmann, "there are fewer incumbent candidates than challengers." "However, the challengers raised only \$89,991 or 9 percent of the total funds raised for campaigning."

"Interestingly," continued Herrmann, "the percentages are identical when examining the amounts spent. Incumbents spent \$375,637 of the total \$413,960 expended, or 91 percent. Challengers, on the other hand, spent only \$38,323 or 9 percent."

Herrmann said that similar to the pattern traceable over the last four years relative to overall receipts and expenditures, incumbents as a group have experienced a steady rise in financial activity over the last four years.

In 1985, incumbent Assembly candidates raised \$368,174. That figure rose by 28 percent to \$472,595 in 1987.

By 1989, incumbents raised \$868,534, or 84 percent more than they did in 1987.

In terms of spending, Herrmann said that these amounts also continued to climb. In 1985, \$149,524 was spent. An 11 percent increase was seen in 1987, with levels of spending going to \$165,721.

"The 1989 campaign saw the largest increase of the three campaigns," said Herrmann.

Herrmann stated that between 1987 and 1989, spending by incumbents rose 127 percent to \$375,637.

It is interesting to note that in both 1985 and 1987, 67 percent of all funds raised was by incumbents. In 1989, 91 percent of all funds raised was raised by incumbents.

Herrmann said that for the first time since 1985, financial activity by challengers is on the downturn, both in terms of raising and spending of funds.

"For example," said Herrmann, "from 1985 to 1987, receipts of challengers went from \$177,398 to \$232,190." "In 1989, the figure dramatically dropped to \$89,991." The profile of spending by challengers over the years 1985, 1987, and 1989 is strikingly similar with an increase from \$77,513 in 1985 to \$111,669 in 1987. The amount drops to \$38,323 in 1989.

Although there are fewer Democrats (83) than Republicans (100) participating in the 1989 primary, Democrats have raised more money than Republicans so far. The Democrats have raised \$517,825 compared to \$440,700 raised by Republicans.

"However," stated Herrmann, "Republicans have outspent the Democrats." The Republicans have spent \$229,028 and the Democrats have spent \$184,932 according to the 29-day preelection report figures.

Although the Democrats had a decrease in fundraising and spending between the 1985 and 1987 campaigns, the amounts raised and spent in 1989 are at an all-time high. In 1985, the Democrats raised \$369,572. Fewer dollars were raised in 1987 (\$338,632). However, in 1989, the Democrats raised \$517,825, which is 53 percent higher than their 1987 figure. The amount of money spent by Democrats fell into the same pattern. In 1985, the

Democrats spent \$155,195, compared with only \$133,053 in 1987. But, in 1989, the Democrats spent \$184,932 or 39 percent over the 1987 amount.

The Republicans saw no downturn over the past three legislative campaign years. In 1985, the Republicans raised \$176,000 compared to \$366,153 in 1987 and \$440,700 in 1989. Similarly, the amount of money spent jumped from \$71,843 in 1985 to \$144,337 in 1987. The expenditures went to \$229,028 in 1989. The largest amount of fundraising and spending in 1989 was, of course, done by incumbents, both Democratic and Republican alike. Democratic incumbents raised \$476,661 and spent \$177,913; the Republicans raised \$391,872 and spent \$197,724.

Attached are lists which contain rankings in terms of receipts and expenditures for all candidates who filed a detailed report as of 5:00 p.m. on May 9, 1989. For comparison purposes, there are similar lists of the top twenty candidates in terms of receipts and expenditures from the legislative campaign years of 1987 and 1985.

In addition, a list of those candidates who filed the Form A-1 or A-2 is attached. Candidates who do not spend in excess of \$2,000 for their campaigns are permitted to file the short Form A-1; multi-candidate committees which do not expend in excess of \$4,000 can file the short Form A-2.

At the end of the release is a chart comparing various receipts and expenditures from the 1989, 1987, and 1985 campaigns after the filing of the 29-day report.

The next report, the 11-day preelection report, is due on May 26, 1989.

##

This press release is a compilation of figures reported to the Commission on statistical information sheets submitted as part of the 29-day preelection report. The data is in rough format and may be subject to change after the reports are reviewed.

Totals which represent the raising and spending of monies may have appeared lower in prior years due to methodological differences. This year, unlike in the past, candidates and treasurers were given the opportunity to submit figures on statistical information sheets, providing for a more accurate picture of campaign financial activity.

Only those statistical information sheets submitted by 5:00 p.m. on May 9th are a part of this release. If a candidate or treasurer failed to submit a statistical information sheet, the staff of ELEC used the unreviewed report filed as of 5:00 p.m. May 9th to determine financial activity.

Although the Commission has taken all reasonable precautions to prevent mathematical or typographical errors, the possibility that some exist cannot be entirely eliminated. Copies of any report on file with the Commission are available for inspection during regular office hours.

APPENDIX  
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees  
1989 Primary Election  
29-Day Report Receipts Ranking

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Receipts</u>
1	Salmon	01	D	INC	\$ 93,323.90
2	Martin	26	R	INC	78,464.33
3	Zangari	28	D	INC	60,896.65
4	Kamin	23	R	INC	49,715.14
5	Frelinghuysen	25	R	INC	43,492.92
6	Girgenti	35	D	INC	40,725.00
7	Ogden	22	R	INC	38,705.67
8	Deverin	20	D	INC	37,354.86
9	Haytaian	24	R	INC	35,011.18
10	Mattison	29	D	INC	33,823.19
11	Bennett	12	R	INC	33,405.00
12	Pascrell	35	D	INC	30,569.51
13	Marsella	04	D	INC	29,037.35
14	McGreevey	19	D	CHAL	27,150.00
15	Doria	31	D	INC	25,423.95
16	Vichiconti	40	R	CHAL	25,140.00
17	Felice	40	R	INC	21,456.98
18	McEnroe	27	D	INC	20,755.00
19	Wirths	24	R	CHAL	18,700.00
20	Kronick	32	D	INC	18,441.23
21	Otlowski	19	D	INC	17,200.00
22	Littell	24	R	INC	16,852.48
23	Kern	40	R	INC	16,654.14
24	Bryant	05	D	INC	14,501.61
25	Schuber	38	R	INC	12,200.00
26	Albohn	25	R	INC	11,732.70
27	Patero	14	D	INC	10,269.50
28	Charles	31	D	INC	10,259.13
29	Roma	38	R	INC	9,962.00
30	Mazur	37	D	INC	9,000.00
31	Roberts	05	D	INC	8,038.83
32	Smith	13	R	INC	7,632.50
33	Kyrillos	13	R	INC	7,632.50
34	Impreveduto	32	D	INC	5,359.13
35	Kenny	33	D	INC	5,050.60
36	Menendez	33	D	INC	5,050.60
37	Contillo	39	D	CHAL	4,930.00
38	D'Ercole	39	D	CHAL	4,930.00
39	DeCroce	26	R	INC	4,550.00
40	Russo	40	R	CHAL	4,225.00
41	Franks	22	R	INC	3,500.00
42	Rosengren	40	D	CHAL	3,298.00
43	Naples	15	D	INC	1,581.11
44	Mullen	04	D	CHAL	806.33
45	LoBiondo	01	R	INC	662.50
46	Pagliughi	01	R	CHAL	662.50
47	Schluter	23	R	INC	142.84
48	Frigerio	21	R	CHAL	100.00

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Receipts</u>
49	Collins	03	R	INC	50.00
50	Stuhltrager	03	R	INC	50.00
51	Jacobson	11	D	CHAL	50.00

APPENDIX  
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees  
1989 Primary Election  
29-Day Report Expenditures Ranking

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Expended</u>
1	Salmon	01	D	INC	\$ 47,091.10
2	Kamin	23	R	INC	45,321.16
3	Martin	26	R	INC	40,093.80
4	Ogden	22	R	INC	27,702.74
5	Wirths	24	R	CHAL	16,597.00
6	Kronick	32	D	INC	15,864.63
7	Pascrell	35	D	INC	15,254.70
8	Doria	31	D	INC	14,815.53
9	Littell	24	R	INC	14,263.26
10	Haytaian	24	R	INC	13,666.39
11	Bryant	05	D	INC	13,286.47
12	Bennett	12	R	INC	13,267.22
13	Vichiconti	40	R	CHAL	12,062.94
14	Charles	31	D	INC	10,159.13
15	Deverin	20	D	INC	10,033.45
16	Girgenti	35	D	INC	9,240.00
17	Zangari	28	D	INC	7,777.20
18	Felice	40	R	INC	7,668.18
19	Smith	13	R	INC	6,952.53
20	Kyrillos	13	R	INC	6,952.53
21	McEnroe	27	D	INC	6,575.09
22	Frelinghuysen	25	R	INC	5,867.88
23	Roma	38	R	INC	5,435.21
24	Roberts	05	D	INC	5,429.81
25	McGreevey	19	D	CHAL	5,371.42
26	Impreveduto	32	D	INC	5,159.13
27	Kenny	33	D	INC	5,050.60
28	Menendez	33	D	INC	5,050.60
29	Marsella	04	D	INC	4,487.89
30	Albohn	25	R	INC	3,612.98
31	DeCroce	26	R	INC	2,492.83
32	Russo	40	R	CHAL	2,484.14
33	Schuber	38	R	INC	2,159.95
34	Patero	14	D	INC	1,620.00
35	Franks	22	R	INC	1,543.91
36	Rosengren	40	D	CHAL	841.75
37	Mullen	04	D	CHAL	806.33
38	Naples	15	D	INC	768.03
39	Kern	40	R	INC	431.29
40	Otlowski	19	D	INC	250.00
41	LoBiondo	01	R	INC	160.00
42	Pagliughi	01	R	CHAL	160.00
43	Schluter	23	R	INC	132.17
44	Collins	03	R	INC	0.00
45	Stuhltrager	03	R	INC	0.00
46	Jacobson	11	D	CHAL	0.00
47	Frigerio	21	R	CHAL	0.00
48	Mattison	29	D	INC	0.00



<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Expended</u>
49	Mazur	37	D	INC	0.00
50	Contillo	39	D	CHAL	0.00
51	D'Ercole	39	D	CHAL	0.00

APPENDIX  
A-1 Candidates  
1989 Primary Election

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>
Aubato	28	D	INC
Baer	37	D	INC
Beaver	13	D	CHAL
Benevento	27	R	CHAL
Berman	06	D	CHAL
Bodnar	19	D	CHAL
Borstad	07	R	CHAL
Brown	29	D	INC
Bush	27	D	INC
Cary	27	D	CHAL
Catrillo	32	R	CHAL
Cimino	14	D	INC
Cooper, D.	02	R	INC
Cooper, R.	13	D	CHAL
Cusmano	20	R	CHAL
Daggett	24	R	CHAL
Daley	25	D	CHAL
Davis	24	D	CHAL
Delgado	32	R	CHAL
DiGaetano	36	R	CHAL
Farias	07	R	CHAL
Farragher	12	R	INC
Foy	07	D	INC
Genova	21	R	INC
Groller	05	R	CHAL
Hudak	20	D	INC
Kalik	07	D	INC
Kapalko	11	R	CHAL
Kavanaugh	16	R	INC
Kline	02	R	INC
Kogut	36	R	CHAL
Krajewski	15	D	CHAL
Loder	06	R	CHAL
Lukachyk	31	R	CHAL
McCabe	24	D	CHAL
Mega	30	D	CHAL
Mernar	32	R	CHAL
Migueluez	33	R	CHAL
Miller	34	R	INC
Mross	31	R	CHAL
Pankok	03	D	CHAL
Pearlman	06	D	CHAL
Rooney	39	R	INC
Rousseau	15	R	CHAL
Scerni	02	D	CHAL
Schau	16	R	CHAL
Schwartz	17	D	INC
Shanahan	23	R	CHAL
Sternberg	11	R	CHAL

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>
Talbott	06	D	CHAL
Watson	15	D	INC
Williams	16	R	CHAL
Wooton	03	D	CHAL

APPENDIX  
A-2 Multi-Candidate Committees  
1989 Primary Election

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>
Abate	12	D	CHAL
Angarone	14	R	CHAL
Cappuccino	16	D	CHAL
Cassano	37	R	CHAL
Corman	19	R	CHAL
Eibeler	18	R	CHAL
Hansen	26	D	CHAL
Hendrickson	09	R	INC
Hopkins	17	R	CHAL
Iszard	14	R	CHAL
Liebhauser	26	D	CHAL
Meglino	09	D	CHAL
Moran	09	R	INC
Peterson	10	R	CHAL
Reich	12	D	CHAL
Rickards	18	R	CHAL
Rocco	06	R	INC
Schneider	08	D	CHAL
Shusted	06	R	INC
Singer	10	R	INC
Soproni	17	R	CHAL
Sweeney	08	D	CHAL
Toth	19	R	CHAL
Varelo	37	R	CHAL
Wicklund	16	D	CHAL
Williams	09	D	CHAL

APPENDIX

1987 Assembly Candidates  
29-Day Report  
Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
X	1	Zangari	28	D	\$45,414.53
X	2	Kelly	30	R	39,465.00
	3	Moeller	23	R	38,625.00
X	4	Girgenti	35	D	37,926.81
	5	Mironov	14	D	33,017.92
X	6	Riley	4	D	26,989.54
X	7	Deverin	20	D	25,432.76
X	8	Loveys	26	R	24,400.00
X	9	Franks	22	R	24,282.00
X	10	Genova	21	R	23,571.75
	11	Bettinger	32	D	23,300.00
X	12	Dumont/Littell/Haytaian	24	R	22,943.34
X	13	Marsella	4	D	21,125.00
X	14	Ogden	22	R	21,085.00
	15	Kenny	33	D	20,010.00
X	16	Villane	11	R	18,000.00
	17	Menendez	33	D	17,650.00
X	18	Haytaian	24	R	17,100.00
X	19	McEnroe	27	D	16,763.00
X	20	Felice	40	R	16,142.00

Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Moeller	23	R	\$24,914.26
X	2	Franks	22	R	22,160.54
	3	Bettinger	32	D	20,595.24
	4	Mironov	14	D	16,073.53
X	5	Doria	31	D	14,612.50
X	6	Loveys	26	R	11,949.07
X	7	Girgenti	35	D	10,095.53
X	8	Kelly	30	R	8,354.80
X	9	Genova	21	R	8,280.80
X	10	Zangari	28	D	8,186.64
X	11	Riley	4	D	6,966.00
	12	Roberts	5	D	6,317.70
X	13	Schuber	38	R	5,844.47
X	14	Ogden	22	R	5,805.33
X	15	Haytaian	24	R	5,681.50
	16	Schluter	23	R	5,333.58
X	17	Felice	40	R	5,257.00
X	18	Deverin	20	D	5,045.10
X	19	Randall	39	R	4,775.20
X	20	McEnroe	27	D	4,588.17

APPENDIX

1985 Assembly Candidates  
29-Day Report  
Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	\$30,064.00
X	2	Girgenti	35	D	29,175.00
X	3	Bryant	5	D	26,641.00
X	4	Pellechia	35	D	24,025.00
X	5	Deverin	20	D	20,349.00
X	6	Gorman/Bryant Comt.	5	D	20,200.00
X	7	Cit. Frelignhuysen	25	R	17,725.00
X	8	Littell	24	R	16,861.00
X	9	McEnroe	27	D	16,283.00
X	10	Doria/Charles	31	D	15,375.00
X	11	Franks	22	R	15,365.00
X	12	Adubato, Jr.	30	D	14,200.00
X	13	Ranieri	33	D	12,995.00
X	14	Ogden	22	R	12,937.00
X	15	Marsella	4	D	12,255.00
X	16	Albohn	25	R	12,083.00
X	17	Hardwick	21	R	10,840.00
X	18	Felice	40	R	10,520.00
X	19	Doyle	10	D	9,952.06
X	20	Ford	10	D	9,952.05

Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	\$28,815.84
X	2	Bryant	5	D	10,020.78
X	3	Doyle	10	D	9,952.06
X	4	Ford	10	D	9,952.05
X	5	Girgenti	35	D	9,864.88
X	6	Pellechia	35	D	8,921.70
X	7	Hardwick	21	R	8,754.89
X	8	Franks	22	R	7,977.63
X	9	McEnroe	27	D	6,361.07
X	10	Chinnici	1	R	6,295.37
X	11	Muziani	1	R	6,295.37
X	12	Cuprowski	32	D	6,216.90
X	13	Littell	24	R	6,086.87
X	14	Felice	40	R	6,040.00
	15	Friends of Morrissy	27	D	5,830.24
X	16	Otlowski	19	D	5,577.85
X	17	Gorman/Bryant	5	D	5,208.43
X	18	Albohn	25	R	5,145.24
	19	Karns	27	D	5,087.00
X	20	Deverin	20	D	4,384.84

29-Day Report  
Receipts and Expenditures Totals  
1989, 1987, 1985

	<u>1989</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1985</u>
Total Raised	\$ 958,525	\$ 704,786	\$ 545,572
Total Spent	413,960	277,390	227,038
Raised by Incumbents	868,534	472,595	368,174
Raised by Challengers	89,991	232,190	177,398
Spent by Incumbents	375,637	165,721	149,524
Spent by Challengers	38,323	111,669	77,513
Raised by Democrats	517,825	338,632	369,572
Raised by Republicans	440,700	366,153	176,000
Spent by Democrats	184,932	133,053	155,195
Spent by Republicans	229,028	144,337	71,843