



NEWS RELEASE

CONTACT: FREDERICK M. HERRMANN, Ph.D. *F.M.H.*
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
(609) 292-8700

FOR RELEASE: IMMEDIATELY
June 2, 1989

Frederick M. Herrmann, Executive Director of the Election Law Enforcement Commission, announced today that preliminary figures based upon the 11-day preelection reports indicate that candidates for the General Assembly have raised \$1,325,397 and spent \$604,471 on their campaigns through May 26, 1989, with incumbent candidates raising 86 percent and spending 87 percent of the funds.

Herrmann said that financial activity as reflected in the cumulative 11-day preelection reports shows a predictable increase over each Legislative election year. He said that this pattern becomes clear when comparing receipt and expenditure totals during successive Assembly primary elections over the last four years.

"For instance," said Herrmann, "receipts rose by 56 percent, \$714,386 to \$1,116,245 between 1985 and 1987 and another 19 percent, \$1,116,245 to \$1,325,397 between 1987 and 1989."

"As might be expected," said Herrmann, "spending has been steadily escalating as well." In 1985, Assembly candidates spent \$328,655.

Just two years later, that figure rose 63 percent to \$536,613. In 1989, spending increased by 13 percent over 1987, \$536,613 to \$604,471.

According to Herrmann, of the \$1,325,397 raised by all candidates running for a seat in the General Assembly, \$1,136,070 or 86 percent was raised by incumbent members.

"In the 1989 race," said Herrmann, "there are fewer incumbent candidates than challengers." "However, the challengers raised only \$189,327 or 14 percent of the total funds raised for campaigning."

"Interestingly," continued Herrmann, "the percentages are almost identical when examining the amounts spent. Incumbents spent \$523,726 of the total \$604,471 expended, or 87 percent. Challengers, on the other hand, spent only \$80,745 or approximately 13 percent."

Herrmann said that similar to the pattern traceable over the last four years relative to overall receipts and expenditures, incumbents as a group have experienced a steady rise in financial activity over the last four years.

In 1985, incumbent Assembly candidates raised \$466,954. That figure rose by 59 percent to \$742,664 in 1987.

By 1989, incumbents raised \$1,136,070, or 53 percent more than they did in 1987.

Herrmann said spending also continued to climb. In 1985, \$218,913 was spent. A 30 percent increase was seen in 1987, with levels of spending going to \$285,659.

"The 1989 campaign saw an even larger increase," said Herrmann.

Herrmann stated that between 1987 and 1989, spending by incumbents rose 83 percent to \$523,726.

It is interesting to note that in both 1985 and 1987, 65 percent and 67 percent respectively of all funds raised was by incumbents. In 1989, 86 percent of all funds raised was by incumbents.

Herrmann said that for the first time since 1985, financial activity by challengers is on the downturn, both in terms of raising and spending of funds.

"For example," said Herrmann, "from 1985 to 1987, receipts of challengers went from \$247,432 to \$373,581." "In 1989, the figure dramatically dropped to \$189,327." The profile of spending by challengers over the years 1985, 1987, and 1989 is strikingly similar with an increase from \$109,741 in 1985 to \$250,953 in 1987. The amount drops to \$80,745 in 1989.

Although there are fewer Democrats (83) than Republicans (100) participating in the 1989 primary, Democrats have raised more money than Republicans so far. The Democrats have raised \$690,741 compared to \$634,656 raised by Republicans.

"However," stated Herrmann, "Republicans have outspent the Democrats." The Republicans have spent \$338,455 and the Democrats have spent \$266,015 according to the 11-day preelection report figures.

The Democrats had an increase in fundraising and spending between the 1985 and 1987 campaigns by the time the 11-day preelection reports were filed. In 1985, the Democrats raised \$447,545. That amount rose to \$568,727 in 1987. In 1989, the Democrats raised \$690,741, which is 21

percent higher than their 1987 figure. The amount of money spent by Democrats fell into a slightly different pattern. In 1985, the Democrats spent \$218,699 compared with \$268,630 in 1987. But by the 11-day report in 1989, the Democrats spent \$266,015 or 1 percent less than the 1987 amount.

The Republicans saw no downturn over the past three legislative campaign years. In 1985, the Republicans raised \$266,841 compared to \$547,518 in 1987 and \$634,656 in 1989. Similarly, the amount of money spent jumped from \$109,956 in 1985 to \$267,982 in 1987. The expenditures went to \$338,455 in 1989. The largest amount of fundraising and spending in 1989 was, of course, done by incumbents, both Democratic and Republican alike. Democratic incumbents raised \$596,809 and spent \$245,678; the Republicans raised \$539,260 and spent \$278,047.

Two independent political committees also filed reports with the Commission. "Essex Victory '89," a committee which supports numerous Republican candidates, reported raising \$3,800 but has spent nothing so far. The "Good Government '89" committee, which supports the two Democratic challengers in District 3, reported raising \$26,290 and spending \$2,221.

Attached are lists which contain rankings in terms of receipts and expenditures for all candidates who filed a detailed report as of 5:00 p.m. on May 26, 1989. For comparison purposes, there are similar lists of the top twenty candidates in terms of receipts and expenditures from the Legislative campaign years of 1987 and 1985.

In addition, an updated list of those candidates who filed the Form A-1 or A-2 is attached. Candidates who do not spend in excess of

\$2,000 for their campaigns are permitted to file the short Form A-1; multi-candidate committees which do not expend in excess of \$4,000 can file the short Form A-2.

At the end of the release is a chart comparing various receipts and expenditures from the 1989, 1987, and 1985 campaigns after the filing of the 11-day report.

The next report, the 20-day postelection report, is due on June 26, 1989.

###

This press release is a compilation of figures reported to the Commission on statistical information sheets submitted as part of the 11-day preelection report. The data is in rough format and may be subject to change after the reports are reviewed.

Totals which represent the raising and spending of monies may have appeared lower in prior years due to methodological differences. This year, unlike in the past, candidates and treasurers were given the opportunity to submit figures on statistical information sheets, providing for a more accurate picture of campaign financial activity.

Only those statistical information sheets submitted by 5:00 p.m. on May 26th are a part of this release. If a candidate or treasurer failed to submit a statistical information sheet, the staff of ELEC used the unreviewed report filed as of 5:00 p.m. May 26th to determine financial activity.

Although the Commission has taken all reasonable precautions to prevent mathematical or typographical errors, the possibility that some exist cannot be entirely eliminated. Copies of any report on file with the Commission are available for inspection during regular office hours.

APPENDIX
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees
1989 Primary Election
11-Day Cumulative Report: Receipts Ranking

| <u>Rank</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> | <u>Receipts</u> |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Salmon | 01 | D | INC | \$94,873.90 |
| 2 | Martin | 26 | R | INC | 80,104.33 |
| 3 | Zangari | 28 | D | INC | 68,296.65 |
| 4 | Kamin | 23 | R | INC | 64,390.14 |
| 5 | Haytaian | 24 | R | INC | 63,875.64 |
| 6 | Ogden | 22 | R | INC | 53,806.14 |
| 7 | Frelinghuysen | 25 | R | INC | 50,237.95 |
| 8 | Doria | 31 | D | INC | 46,645.79 |
| 9 | Pascrell | 35 | D | INC | 44,419.51 |
| 10 | Girgenti | 35 | D | INC | 41,605.00 |
| 11 | Deverin | 20 | D | INC | 38,904.86 |
| 12 | Littell | 24 | R | INC | 38,662.17 |
| 13 | Bennett | 12 | R | INC | 38,481.50 |
| 14 | DeCroce | 26 | R | INC | 37,195.00 |
| 15 | Otlowski | 19 | D | INC | 35,325.00 |
| 16 | Mattison | 29 | D | INC | 34,002.27 |
| 17 | McGreevey | 19 | D | CHAL | 33,100.00 |
| 18 | Marsella | 04 | D | INC | 30,262.35 |
| 19 | Vichiconti | 40 | R | CHAL | 29,940.00 |
| 20 | Fahey | 21 | D | CHAL | 27,631.97 |
| 21 | Charles | 31 | D | INC | 26,590.55 |
| 22 | Felice | 40 | R | INC | 24,756.98 |
| 23 | McEnroe | 27 | D | INC | 22,755.00 |
| 24 | Wirths | 24 | R | CHAL | 21,360.00 |
| 25 | Kronick | 32 | D | INC | 20,883.52 |
| 26 | Schuber | 38 | R | INC | 17,425.00 |
| 27 | Kern | 40 | R | INC | 17,004.14 |
| 28 | Bryant | 05 | D | INC | 14,098.44 |
| 29 | Russo | 40 | R | CHAL | 14,067.43 |
| 30 | Albohn | 25 | R | INC | 11,732.70 |
| 31 | Frigerio | 21 | R | CHAL | 11,710.00 |
| 32 | Impreveduto | 32 | D | INC | 11,690.55 |
| 33 | Menendez | 33 | D | INC | 11,382.02 |
| 34 | Kenny | 33 | D | INC | 11,382.02 |
| 35 | Patero | 14 | D | INC | 10,269.50 |
| 36 | Roma | 38 | R | INC | 9,962.00 |
| 37 | DuHaim | 40 | R | CHAL | 9,029.63 |
| 38 | Mazur | 37 | D | INC | 9,000.00 |
| 39 | D'Ercole | 39 | D | CHAL | 8,953.00 |
| 40 | Contillo | 39 | D | CHAL | 8,952.00 |
| 41 | LoBiondo | 01 | R | INC | 8,238.73 |
| 42 | Pagliughi | 01 | R | CHAL | 8,238.72 |
| 43 | Roberts | 05 | D | INC | 8,038.83 |
| 44 | Smith | 13 | R | INC | 7,562.50 |
| 45 | Kyrillos | 13 | R | INC | 7,562.50 |
| 46 | Ford | 10 | D | CHAL | 6,965.00 |
| 47 | Pelly | 18 | D | INC | 6,826.51 |
| 48 | Spadaro | 18 | D | INC | 6,826.50 |

| <u>Rank</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> | <u>Receipts</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 49 | Rosengren | 40 | D | CHAL | 4,698.00 |
| 50 | Franks | 22 | R | INC | 3,500.00 |
| 51 | Naples | 15 | D | INC | 2,731.11 |
| 52 | Penn | 16 | R | INC | 2,520.00 |
| 53 | Villano | 40 | D | CHAL | 1,285.00 |
| 54 | Morreale | 15 | R | CHAL | 1,050.00 |
| 55 | Mullen | 04 | D | CHAL | 831.33 |
| 56 | Collins | 03 | R | INC | 800.00 |
| 57 | Stuhltrager | 03 | R | INC | 800.00 |
| 58 | Jacobson | 11 | D | CHAL | 800.00 |
| 59 | Batten | 01 | D | CHAL | 715.47 |
| 60 | Schluter | 23 | R | INC | 642.84 |

APPENDIX
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees
1989 Primary Election
11-Day Cumulative Report Expenditures Ranking

| <u>Rank</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> | <u>Expended</u> |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Salmon | 01 | D | INC | 56,790.68 |
| 2 | Kamin | 23 | R | INC | 45,643.79 |
| 3 | Martin | 26 | R | INC | 36,085.74 |
| 4 | Littell | 24 | R | INC | 33,119.95 |
| 5 | Haytaian | 24 | R | INC | 32,455.76 |
| 6 | Ogden | 22 | R | INC | 29,268.84 |
| 7 | Vichiconti | 40 | R | CHAL | 23,682.59 |
| 8 | Doria | 31 | D | INC | 22,389.74 |
| 9 | Kronick | 32 | D | INC | 22,196.05 |
| 10 | Zangari | 28 | D | INC | 20,232.20 |
| 11 | Wirths | 24 | R | CHAL | 18,759.54 |
| 12 | Bennett | 12 | R | INC | 17,786.71 |
| 13 | Charles | 31 | D | INC | 16,490.55 |
| 14 | Pascrell | 35 | D | INC | 15,589.70 |
| 15 | DeCroce | 26 | R | INC | 14,606.09 |
| 16 | Felice | 40 | R | INC | 11,568.91 |
| 17 | Impreveduto | 32 | D | INC | 11,490.55 |
| 18 | Kenny | 33 | D | INC | 11,382.02 |
| 19 | Menendez | 33 | D | INC | 11,382.02 |
| 20 | Deverin | 20 | D | INC | 10,765.95 |
| 21 | Girgenti | 35 | D | INC | 10,126.86 |
| 22 | Frelinghuysen | 25 | R | INC | 9,907.35 |
| 23 | Kern | 40 | R | INC | 8,900.66 |
| 24 | McEnroe | 27 | D | INC | 8,146.95 |
| 25 | Russo | 40 | R | CHAL | 7,584.05 |
| 26 | Bryant | 05 | D | INC | 7,543.29 |
| 27 | McGreevey | 19 | D | CHAL | 7,391.37 |
| 28 | Fahey | 21 | D | CHAL | 7,222.82 |
| 29 | LoBiondo | 01 | R | INC | 7,062.82 |
| 30 | Pagliughi | 01 | R | CHAL | 7,062.82 |
| 31 | Smith | 13 | R | INC | 6,954.37 |
| 32 | Kyrillos | 13 | R | INC | 6,954.37 |
| 33 | Roberts | 05 | D | INC | 5,832.98 |
| 34 | Roma | 38 | R | INC | 5,495.73 |
| 35 | Marsella | 04 | D | INC | 4,839.27 |
| 36 | Schuber | 38 | R | INC | 4,159.95 |
| 37 | Otlowski | 19 | D | INC | 3,846.68 |
| 38 | Albohn | 25 | R | INC | 3,612.98 |
| 39 | Penn | 16 | R | INC | 2,500.00 |
| 40 | Rosengren | 40 | D | CHAL | 2,209.98 |
| 41 | DuHaime | 40 | R | CHAL | 1,864.08 |
| 42 | Patero | 14 | D | INC | 1,620.00 |
| 43 | Mattison | 29 | D | INC | 1,500.00 |
| 44 | Naples | 15 | D | INC | 1,363.47 |
| 45 | Franks | 22 | R | INC | 1,346.21 |
| 46 | Pelly | 18 | D | INC | 968.93 |
| 47 | Spadoro | 18 | D | INC | 968.92 |
| 48 | Ford | 10 | D | CHAL | 853.57 |

| <u>Rank</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> | <u>Expended</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 49 | Mullen | 04 | D | CHAL | 806.33 |
| 50 | D'Ercole | 39 | D | CHAL | 753.71 |
| 51 | Contillo | 39 | D | CHAL | 752.00 |
| 52 | Frigerio | 21 | R | CHAL | 730.30 |
| 53 | Morreale | 15 | R | CHAL | 725.21 |
| 54 | Schluter | 23 | R | INC | 617.17 |
| 55 | Villano | 40 | D | CHAL | 288.62 |
| 56 | Mazur | 37 | D | INC | 212.15 |
| 57 | Jacobson | 11 | D | CHAL | 58.50 |
| 58 | Collins | 03 | R | INC | 0.00 |
| 59 | Stuhltrager | 03 | R | INC | 0.00 |
| 60 | Batten | 01 | D | CHAL | 0.00 |

APPENDIX
A-1 Candidates
1989 Primary Election

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Adubato | 28 | D | INC |
| Alfonso | 32 | R | CHAL |
| Baer | 37 | D | INC |
| Baran | 34 | D | CHAL |
| Beaver | 13 | D | CHAL |
| Benevento | 27 | R | CHAL |
| Berman | 06 | D | CHAL |
| Blount | 29 | R | CHAL |
| Bodnar | 19 | D | CHAL |
| Borstad | 07 | R | CHAL |
| Brown | 29 | D | INC |
| Bush | 27 | D | INC |
| Calcines | 35 | R | CHAL |
| Carrollton | 22 | D | CHAL |
| Cary | 27 | D | CHAL |
| Catrillo | 32 | R | CHAL |
| Cimino | 14 | D | INC |
| Clark | 33 | R | CHAL |
| Cohen | 20 | R | CHAL |
| Cooper, D. | 02 | R | INC |
| Cooper, R. | 13 | D | CHAL |
| Crecco | 30 | R | INC |
| Cusmano | 20 | R | CHAL |
| Daggett | 24 | R | CHAL |
| Daley | 25 | D | CHAL |
| Davis | 24 | D | CHAL |
| Delgado | 32 | R | CHAL |
| DiGaetano | 36 | R | CHAL |
| Donohue | 04 | R | CHAL |
| Farias | 07 | R | CHAL |
| Farragher | 12 | R | INC |
| Fortunato | 30 | D | CHAL |
| Foy | 07 | D | INC |
| Genova | 21 | R | INC |
| Groller | 05 | R | CHAL |
| Hudak | 20 | D | INC |
| Jackson | 27 | D | CHAL |
| Kalik | 07 | D | INC |
| Kapalko | 11 | R | CHAL |
| Kavanaugh | 16 | R | INC |
| Kline | 02 | R | INC |
| Kogut | 36 | R | CHAL |
| Kolk | 28 | R | CHAL |
| Krajewski | 15 | D | CHAL |
| Loder | 06 | R | CHAL |
| Lukachyk | 31 | R | CHAL |
| McCabe | 24 | D | CHAL |
| Mecca | 34 | D | CHAL |

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Mega | 30 | D | CHAL |
| Mernar | 32 | R | CHAL |
| Migueluez | 33 | R | CHAL |
| Miller | 34 | R | INC |
| Mross | 31 | R | CHAL |
| Munoz | 33 | R | CHAL |
| Pankok | 03 | D | CHAL |
| Pearlman | 06 | D | CHAL |
| Randall | 39 | R | INC |
| Reed | 04 | R | CHAL |
| Rooney | 39 | R | INC |
| Rousseau | 15 | R | CHAL |
| Ruiz | 33 | R | CHAL |
| Scerni | 02 | D | CHAL |
| Schau | 16 | R | CHAL |
| Schwartz | 17 | D | INC |
| Shanahan | 23 | R | CHAL |
| Smith | 17 | D | INC |
| Starn | 02 | D | CHAL |
| Sternberg | 11 | R | CHAL |
| Talbott | 06 | D | CHAL |
| Watson | 15 | D | INC |
| Williams | 16 | R | CHAL |
| Wooton | 03 | D | CHAL |
| Zecker | 34 | R | INC |

APPENDIX
A-2 Candidates
1989 Primary Election

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Dist</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Inc/Chal</u> |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Abate | 12 | D | CHAL |
| Angarone | 14 | R | CHAL |
| Astheimer | 17 | R | CHAL |
| Cappuccino | 16 | D | CHAL |
| Cassano | 37 | R | CHAL |
| Colburn | 08 | R | INC |
| Corman | 19 | R | CHAL |
| Duch | 36 | D | INC |
| Eibeler | 18 | R | CHAL |
| Gill | 36 | D | INC |
| Hansen | 26 | D | CHAL |
| Hendrickson | 09 | R | INC |
| Hopkins | 17 | R | CHAL |
| Horvath | 17 | R | CHAL |
| Iszard | 14 | R | CHAL |
| Liebhauser | 26 | D | CHAL |
| Meglino | 09 | D | CHAL |
| Moran | 09 | R | INC |
| Peterson | 10 | R | CHAL |
| Reich | 12 | D | CHAL |
| Rickards | 18 | R | CHAL |
| Rocco | 06 | R | INC |
| Schneider, S. | 08 | D | CHAL |
| Schneider, E. | 18 | R | CHAL |
| Sheldon | 18 | R | CHAL |
| Shinn | 08 | R | INC |
| Shusted | 06 | R | INC |
| Singer | 10 | R | INC |
| Soproni | 17 | R | CHAL |
| Sweeney | 08 | D | CHAL |
| Toth | 19 | R | CHAL |
| Varelo | 37 | R | CHAL |
| Wicklund | 16 | D | CHAL |
| Williams | 09 | D | CHAL |

APPENDIX
 1987 Assembly Candidates
 11-Day Cumulative Reports
Top Twenty Receivers

| <u>Incumbent</u> | <u>Ranking</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>District</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 1 | Moeller | 23 | R | \$59,615.00 |
| X | 2 | Zangari | 28 | D | \$57,589.31 |
| | 3 | Mironov | 14 | D | \$46,163.44 |
| X | 4 | Kelly | 30 | R | \$40,815.00 |
| | 5 | Kenny | 33 | D | \$38,210.00 |
| X | 6 | Girgenti | 35 | D | \$37,926.81 |
| X | 7 | Brown | 29 | D | \$37,250.00 |
| X | 8 | Ogden | 22 | R | \$35,765.24 |
| X | 9 | Bennett | 12 | R | \$34,650.00 |
| | 10 | Menendez | 33 | D | \$33,039.00 |
| X | 11 | Loveys | 26 | R | \$32,570.00 |
| | 12 | Schluter | 23 | R | \$31,915.00 |
| X | 13 | Frelinghuysen | 25 | R | \$29,111.85 |
| X | 14 | Franks | 22 | R | \$27,172.00 |
| X | 15 | Riley | 4 | D | \$26,989.54 |
| X | 16 | Friends of Doria | 31 | D | \$26,200.00 |
| X | 17 | Deverin | 20 | D | \$25,557.76 |
| X | 18 | Martin | 26 | R | \$24,990.00 |
| | 19 | Bettinger | 32 | D | \$24,250.00 |
| X | 20 | Genova | 21 | R | \$23,946.75 |

Top Twenty Spenders

| <u>Incumbent</u> | <u>Ranking</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>District</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 1 | Moeller | 23 | R | \$57,499.14 |
| | 2 | Mironov | 14 | D | \$38,605.17 |
| | 3 | Schulter | 23 | R | \$26,794.61 |
| X | 4 | Friends of Doria | 31 | D | \$26,200.00 |
| X | 5 | Franks | 22 | R | \$24,126.79 |
| | 6 | Bettinger | 32 | D | \$21,114.99 |
| X | 7 | Bennett | 12 | R | \$15,575.43 |
| X | 8 | Doria | 31 | D | \$14,612.50 |
| X | 9 | Kelly | 30 | R | \$14,307.75 |
| X | 10 | Charles | 31 | D | \$13,415.00 |
| | 11 | Kenny | 33 | D | \$12,763.00 |
| X | 12 | Loveys | 26 | R | \$12,172.07 |
| X | 13 | Girgenti | 35 | D | \$11,102.78 |
| X | 14 | Zangari | 28 | D | \$10,584.94 |
| X | 15 | Kline | 2 | R | \$10,252.43 |
| X | 16 | Pelly | 18 | D | \$ 9,701.02 |
| | 16 | Spadoro | 18 | D | \$ 9,701.02 |
| X | 18 | Haytaian | 24 | R | \$ 9,239.36 |
| | 19 | Maraziti | 23 | R | \$ 8,728.72 |
| X | 20 | Genova | 21 | R | \$ 8,297.46 |

APPENDIX
 1985 Assembly Candidates
11-Day Cumulative Reports

Top Twenty Receivers

| <u>Incumbent</u> | <u>Ranking</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>District</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 1 | Morrissy | 27 | D | \$33,799.77 |
| X | 2 | Girgenti | 35 | D | 29,175.00 |
| X | 3 | Bryant | 5 | D | 27,141.06 |
| X | 4 | Pellecchia | 35 | D | 24,375.00 |
| X | 5 | Adubato, Jr. | 30 | D | 23,410.00 |
| X | 6 | Cit. For Frelinghuysen | 25 | R | 22,031.00 |
| X | 7 | Deverin | 20 | D | 20,549.00 |
| X | 8 | Gorman/Bryant | 5 | D | 20,200.00 |
| X | 9 | Franks | 22 | R | 18,030.00 |
| X | 10 | Martin | 26 | R | 17,770.00 |
| X | 11 | McEnroe | 27 | D | 17,484.29 |
| X | 12 | Littell | 24 | R | 16,986.50 |
| X | 13 | Ogden | 22 | R | 15,561.93 |
| X | 14 | Hardwick | 21 | R | 15,440.32 |
| X | 15 | Doria/Charles | 31 | D | 15,375.00 |
| X | 16 | Doria | 31 | D | 15,304.18 |
| X | 17 | Ranieri | 33 | D | 14,908.28 |
| | 18 | Azzolina | 13 | R | 13,350.00 |
| X | 19 | Rocco/Shusted | 6 | R | 13,269.00 |
| X | 20 | Marsella | 4 | D | 12,825.33 |

Top Twenty Spenders

| <u>Incumbent</u> | <u>Ranking</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>District</u> | <u>Party</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 1 | Morrissy | 27 | D | \$32,706.51 |
| X | 2 | McEnroe | 27 | D | 14,115.91 |
| X | 3 | Franks | 22 | R | 12,120.27 |
| X | 4 | Cuprowski | 32 | D | 10,534.52 |
| X | 5 | Bryant | 5 | D | 10,470.78 |
| X | 6 | Pellecchia | 35 | D | 10,461.70 |
| X | 7 | Doyle | 10 | D | 10,046.17 |
| X | 8 | Ford | 10 | D | 10,046.16 |
| X | 9 | Girgenti | 35 | D | 10,016.88 |
| X | 10 | Ranieri | 33 | D | 9,917.44 |
| X | 11 | Hardwick | 21 | R | 9,652.94 |
| X | 12 | Rod | 9 | R | 9,288.85 |
| X | 13 | Doria | 31 | D | 7,710.54 |
| | 14 | Karns | 27 | D | 7,285.00 |
| X | 15 | Deverin | 20 | D | 7,174.72 |
| X | 16 | Chinnici | 1 | R | 7,169.48 |
| X | 16 | Muziani | 1 | R | 7,169.48 |
| X | 18 | Otlowski | 19 | D | 6,415.74 |
| X | 19 | Littell | 24 | R | 6,320.88 |
| X | 20 | Felice | 40 | R | 6,156.80 |

11-Day Cumulative Report
 Receipts and Expenditures Totals
1989, 1987, 1985

| | <u>1989</u> | <u>1987</u> | <u>1985</u> |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total Raised | \$1,325,397 | \$1,116,245 | \$ 714,386 |
| Total Spent | 604,471 | 536,613 | 328,655 |
| Raised by Incumbents | 1,136,070 | 742,664 | 466,954 |
| Raised by Challengers | 189,327 | 373,581 | 247,432 |
| Spent by Incumbents | 523,726 | 285,659 | 218,913 |
| Spent by Challengers | 80,745 | 250,953 | 109,741 |
| Raised by Democrats | 690,741 | 568,727 | 447,545 |
| Raised by Republicans | 634,656 | 547,518 | 266,841 |
| Spent by Democrats | 266,015 | 268,630 | 218,699 |
| Spent by Republicans | 338,455 | 267,982 | 109,956 |