



## NEWS RELEASE

CONTACT: **FREDERICK M. HERRMANN, Ph.D.**  
**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**  
**(609) 292-8700**

FOR RELEASE:

**IMMEDIATELY**  
**JULY 12, 1989**

Preliminary 20-day postelection figures show that while candidates for the General Assembly spent more in their primary campaigns this year than they did in 1987, their total receipts actually decreased slightly.

Frederick M. Herrmann, Executive Director of the Election Law Enforcement Commission, said today that total receipts reported by Assembly candidates through June 26, 1989 amounted to \$1,692,966, a three percent decrease from 1987, when they amounted to \$1,736,955.

According to Herrmann, however, the upward trend in spending continued unabated. Assembly candidates spent \$1,100,733 in 1989 compared with \$980,022 in 1987, for a 12 percent increase.

"The slackening in Assembly receipts," said Herrmann, "may be directly attributable to the lagging financial activity of challengers and conversely to the strength of incumbents."

Herrmann said that challengers' receipts slipped to \$259,693 in 1989, a decrease of 61 percent from 1987, when they raised \$673,445. Spending by challengers also declined, slipping by 69 percent from 1987, \$489,304 to \$149,906.

more

"While challengers' financial activity slumped precipitously," said Herrmann, "incumbents continued to gain in strength."

According to ELEC statistics, incumbents, raising \$1,433,273, accounted for 85 percent of total receipts in 1989. With \$950,827 spent, they accounted for 86 percent of all expenditures.

Unlike challengers, total receipts by incumbents increased over 1987 by 35 percent, \$1,063,509 to \$1,433,273. Incumbent expenditures increased by 94 percent during this period, from \$490,718 to \$950,827.

"These statistics clearly demonstrate why New Jersey needs to establish a legislative public financing program," said Herrmann. "Legislative public financing would make the process more equitable by providing challengers with enough money to mount viable campaigns. Under the current system, most of them just can't get their messages to the electorate."

The Commission, at a recent meeting, strongly endorsed the concept of legislative public financing and will soon be issuing a detailed study of the issue.

The decline in total receipts by Assembly candidates reverses a trend that had been developing over the last four years. The upward trend in spending, however, remained steady.

more

"Between 1985 and 1987, for instance," said Herrmann, "receipts rose by 70 percent, \$1,021,146 to \$1,736,955. They decreased by three percent over the next two years, however, \$1,736,955 to \$1,692,966."

Herrmann said that the expected pattern for expenditures prevailed during the four year period 1985 - 1989. Expenditures climbed from \$582,913 in 1985 to \$980,022 in 1987, a 68 percent increase, and again to \$1,100,733 in 1989, a 12 percent increase over the previous Assembly primary election.

Incumbents, who accounted for 85 percent of all funds raised, increased their activity over 1987, as they had done over the previous two-year period.

Herrmann said that in 1985, incumbent Assembly candidates raised \$686,252, a figure that increased by 55 percent in 1987, when incumbents' receipts totaled \$1,063,509. Between 1987 and 1989, incumbents had raised 35 percent more dollars, \$1,063,509 to \$1,433,273.

These figures stand in stark contrast to those of challengers. Between 1985 and 1987 challengers increased their receipts by 101 percent, \$334,894 to \$673,445. But between 1987 and 1989 their receipt totals had dipped significantly by 61 percent, from \$673,445 to \$259,693.

Overall expenditure totals for incumbents and challengers showed the same pattern.

more

Herrmann said that incumbents' spending rose by 22 percent between 1985 and 1987, \$403,769 to \$490,718, and by another 94 percent between 1987 and 1989, \$490,718 to \$950,827.

Challengers, on the other hand, increased their spending by 173 percent between 1985 and 1987, from \$179,144 to \$489,304, but decreased it by 69 percent between 1987 and 1989, \$489,304 to \$149,906.

"The advantage that incumbents enjoy in communicating with the voters is abundantly clear," said Herrmann, "made all the more so by the fact that the percentage of total funds raised by incumbents rose from 67 percent in 1985 and 61 percent in 1987 to 85 percent in 1989."

Herrmann said: "Expenditures followed the same pattern with incumbents spending 69 percent of the funds in 1985, 50 percent in 1987, and 86 percent in 1989.

According to the preliminary figures computed by ELEC, Democrats raised more money than Republicans. The 83 Democratic candidates raised \$882,152 compared with the \$810,814 raised by Republicans.

"Republicans have outspent the Democrats, however," said Herrmann. In the 1989 primary, Republican candidates spent \$605,328 compared with \$495,405 spent by Democratic candidates."

more

The statistics show, however, that while Democrats increased their receipts in successive election years since 1985, the Republicans record was uneven.

In 1985, the Democrats raised \$619,460. That amount rose to \$880,838 in 1987, 42 percent more. In 1989, the Democrats raised \$882,152, which is .15 percent higher than the 1987 figure. In terms of spending, Democrats increased their spending between 1985 and 1987 by 36 percent, \$356,148 to \$484,413. Between 1987 and 1989 that spending rose to \$495,405, for a two percent increase.

Republican candidates increased their receipts between 1985 and 1987 by 113 percent, \$401,686 to \$856,117. On the other hand, Republican receipts declined by five percent between 1987 and 1989, from \$856,117 to \$810,814. Expenditures by Republicans rose steadily, however, during this four-year period. In 1987, expenditures were up by 118 percent, from \$226,764 in 1985 to \$495,609 in 1987. Expenditures increased by 22 percent between 1987 and 1989, \$495,609 to \$605,328.

Finally, winners outraised and outspent losers by a wide margin. Winners raised \$1,595,094 compared with \$97,872 raised by losers. They spent \$1,008,683 compared with \$92,050 spent by losers.

more

Two independent political committees also filed reports with the Commission. "Essex Victory '89," a committee which supports numerous Republican candidates, reported raising \$13,800, and has spent \$12,875. The "Good Government '89" committee, which supports the two Democratic challengers in District 3, reported raising \$28,840 and spending \$28,840.

Attached are lists which contain rankings in terms of receipts and expenditures for all candidates who filed a detailed report as of 5:00 p.m. on June 26, 1989. For comparison purposes, there are similar lists of the top twenty candidates in terms of receipts and expenditures from the legislative campaign years of 1987 and 1985.

In addition, an updated list of those candidates who filed the Form A-1 or A-2 is attached. Candidates who do not spend in excess of \$2,000 for their campaigns are permitted to file the short Form A-1; multi-candidate committees which do not expend in excess of \$4,000 can file the short Form A-2.

At the end of the release is a chart comparing various receipts and expenditures from 1989, 1987, and 1985 campaigns after the filing of the 20-day postelection report.

###

APPENDIX  
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees  
1989 Primary Election  
20-Day Postelection Report Receipts Ranking

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>	<u>Receipts</u>
1	Salmon	01	D	INC	W	95,372.90
2	Haytaian	24	R	INC	W	94,489.04
3	Martin	26	R	INC	W	81,204.33
4	Pascrell	35	D	INC	W	79,011.41
5	Ogden	22	R	INC	W	71,419.20
6	Zangari	28	D	INC	W	70,551.20
7	Kamin	23	R	INC	W	65,265.14
8	Doria	31	D	INC	W	60,059.10
9	Littell	24	R	INC	W	59,818.59
10	Frelinghuysen	25	R	INC	W	53,092.95
11	Doyle	10	D	INC	W	48,257.98
12	Girgenti	35	D	INC	W	41,605.00
13	DeCroce	26	R	INC	W	41,270.00
14	Deverin	20	D	INC	W	39,704.86
15	Charles	31	D	INC	W	38,551.02
16	Bennett	12	R	INC	W	38,481.50
17	Otlowski	19	D	INC	W	37,975.00
18	Mattison	29	D	INC	W	34,150.14
19	McGreevey	19	D	CHAL	W	34,150.00
20	Marsella	04	D	INC	W	31,512.35
21	Vichiconti	40	R	CHAL	L	31,040.00
22	Fahey	21	D	CHAL	W	30,648.97
23	Felice	40	R	INC	W	28,031.98
24	Wirths	24	R	CHAL	L	27,120.00
25	Schuber	38	R	INC	W	26,100.00
26	Kenny	33	D	INC	W	25,605.54
27	Menendez	33	D	INC	W	25,605.54
28	Kelly	30	R	INC	W	24,872.02
29	McEnroe	27	D	INC	W	23,530.00
30	Impreveduto	32	D	INC	W	23,046.02
31	DuHaime	40	R	CHAL	L	22,158.63
32	Kronick	32	D	INC	W	21,383.00
33	Contillo	39	D	CHAL	W	21,166.00
34	D'Ercole	39	D	CHAL	W	21,166.00
35	Russo	40	R	CHAL	W	20,113.78
36	Kern	40	R	INC	L	17,554.14
37	Genova	21	R	INC	W	16,609.42
38	Bryant	05	D	INC	W	15,787.97
39	Ford	10	D	CHAL	W	14,765.00
40	Frigerio	21	R	CHAL	W	14,690.00
41	Roma	38	R	INC	W	13,962.00
42	Albohn	25	R	INC	W	12,023.61
43	Mazur	37	D	INC	W	9,191.62
44	LoBiondo	01	R	INC	W	8,324.12
45	Pagliughi	01	R	CHAL	W	8,324.12
46	Roberts	05	D	INC	W	8,113.83
47	Rosengren	40	D	CHAL	W	7,784.00
48	Smith	13	R	INC	W	7,562.50

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>	<u>Receipts</u>
49	Kyrillos	13	R	INC	W	7,562.50
50	Pelly	18	D	INC	W	7,502.45
51	Spodoro	18	D	INC	W	7,502.45
52	Schluter	23	R	INC	W	7,359.85
53	Penn	16	R	INC	W	5,020.00
54	Franks	22	R	INC	W	4,000.00
55	Naples	15	D	INC	W	3,581.11
56	Mullen	04	D	CHAL	W	3,331.33
57	Morreale	15	R	CHAL	W	1,695.00
58	Collins	03	R	INC	W	825.00
59	Jacobson	11	D	CHAL	W	825.00
60	Stuhltrager	03	R	INC	W	825.00
61	Batten	01	D	CHAL	W	715.47



APPENDIX  
R-1 Candidates and Multi-Candidate Committees  
1989 Primary Election  
20-Day Postelection Report Expenditures Ranking

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>	<u>Expended</u>
1	Martin	26	R	INC	W	81,204.33
2	Zangari	28	D	INC	W	70,551.20
3	Kamin	23	R	INC	W	65,265.14
4	Salmon	01	D	INC	W	60,611.16
5	Littell	24	R	INC	W	56,126.37
6	Haytaian	24	R	INC	W	53,629.83
7	DeCroce	26	R	INC	W	41,270.00
8	Doria	31	D	INC	W	36,150.20
9	Ogden	22	R	INC	W	34,753.56
10	Kronick	32	D	INC	W	33,406.52
11	Vichiconti	40	R	CHAL	L	30,459.60
12	Charles	31	D	INC	W	29,292.02
13	Wirths	24	R	CHAL	L	26,385.38
14	Pascrell	35	D	INC	W	26,016.03
15	Kenny	33	D	INC	W	25,605.54
16	Menendez	33	D	INC	W	25,605.54
17	Felice	40	R	INC	W	24,144.44
18	Impreveduto	32	D	INC	W	23,041.02
19	DuHaine	40	R	CHAL	L	22,051.34
20	Otlowski	19	D	INC	W	20,989.95
21	Kelly	30	R	INC	W	20,627.95
22	Bennett	12	R	INC	W	17,786.71
23	McEnroe	27	D	INC	W	17,567.48
24	McGreevey	19	D	CHAL	W	14,865.14
25	Russo	40	R	CHAL	W	14,797.89
26	Frelinghuysen	25	R	INC	W	14,401.78
27	Kern	40	R	INC	L	13,154.09
28	Albohn	25	R	INC	W	12,023.61
29	Deverin	20	D	INC	W	11,772.55
30	Genova	21	R	INC	W	11,679.62
31	Schuber	38	R	INC	W	11,005.66
32	Girgenti	35	D	INC	W	10,909.37
33	Bryant	05	D	INC	W	10,508.94
34	Doyle	10	D	INC	W	9,960.78
35	Fahey	21	D	CHAL	W	8,501.12
36	Mattison	29	D	INC	W	8,174.75
37	Pelly	18	D	INC	W	7,502.45
38	Spadaro	18	D	INC	W	7,502.45
39	Schluter	23	R	INC	W	7,338.18
40	LoBiondo	01	R	INC	W	7,167.82
41	Pagliughi	01	R	CHAL	W	7,167.82
42	Smith	13	R	INC	W	7,056.88
43	Kyrillos	13	R	INC	W	7,056.88
44	Roma	38	R	INC	W	6,080.97
45	Rosengren	40	D	CHAL	W	6,049.40
46	Ford	10	D	CHAL	W	6,006.62
47	Roberts	05	D	INC	W	5,967.63
48	Marsella	04	D	INC	W	5,734.27

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>	<u>Expended</u>
49	Penn	16	R	INC	W	
50	Franks	22	R	INC	W	4,700.00
51	Contillo	39	D	CHAL	W	3,855.98
52	D'Ercole	39	D	CHAL	W	3,498.86
53	Naples	15	D	INC	W	3,498.86
54	Frigerio	21	R	CHAL	W	3,331.11
55	Mullen	04	D	CHAL	W	2,895.96
56	Morreale	15	R	CHAL	W	1,543.06
57	Batten	01	D	CHAL	W	1,221.12
58	Mazur	37	D	CHAL	W	700.00
59	Jacobson	11	D	INC	W	277.15
60	Collins	03	R	CHAL	W	263.79
61	Stuhltrager	03	R	INC	W	9.69
						9.68

APPENDIX  
A-1 Candidates  
1989 Primary Election

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>
Adubato	28	D	INC	W
Alfonso	32	R	CHAL	W
Alvarado	33	R	CHAL	W
Baer	37	D	INC	W
Baran	34	D	CHAL	W
Beaver	13	D	CHAL	W
Benevento	27	R	CHAL	W
Berman	06	D	CHAL	W
Blount	29	R	CHAL	W
Bodnar	19	D	CHAL	L
Borstad	07	R	CHAL	W
Brown	29	D	INC	W
Bush	27	D	INC	W
Calcines	35	R	CHAL	W
Campbell	21	D	CHAL	L
Carrollton	22	D	CHAL	W
Cary	27	D	CHAL	L
Catrillo	32	R	CHAL	W
Cimino	14	D	INC	W
Clark	33	R	CHAL	W
Cohen	20	R	CHAL	W
Cooper	02	R	INC	W
Cooper	13	D	CHAL	W
Crecco	30	R	INC	W
Cusmano	20	R	CHAL	W
Daggett	24	R	CHAL	L
Daley	25	D	CHAL	W
Davis	24	D	CHAL	W
DeCicco	22	D	CHAL	W
Delgado	32	R	CHAL	L
DiGaetano	36	R	CHAL	W
Donohue	04	R	CHAL	W
Farias	07	R	CHAL	W
Farragher	12	R	INC	W
Fortunato	30	D	CHAL	W
Foy	07	D	INC	W
Groller	05	R	CHAL	W
Hudak	20	D	INC	W
Iszard	14	R	CHAL	W
Jackson	27	D	CHAL	L
Kalik	07	D	INC	W
Kapalko	11	R	CHAL	W
Kavanaugh	16	R	INC	W
Kiernan	38	D	CHAL	W
Kline	02	R	INC	W
Kogut	36	R	CHAL	W
Kolk *	28	R	CHAL	W
Krajewski	15	D	CHAL	L

\* Withdrew as a candidate.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>
Loder	06	R	CHAL	L
Lukachyk	31	R	CHAL	W
McCabe	24	D	CHAL	W
Mecca	34	D	CHAL	W
Mega	30	D	CHAL	W
Mernar	32	R	CHAL	L
Migueluez	33	R	CHAL	L
Miller	34	R	INC	W
Mross	31	R	CHAL	L
Munoz	33	R	CHAL	L
Pankok	03	D	CHAL	W
Patero	14	D	INC	W
Pearlman	06	D	CHAL	L
Randall	39	R	INC	W
Reed	04	R	CHAL	W
Rooney	39	R	INC	W
Rousseau	15	R	CHAL	W
Ruiz	33	R	CHAL	L
Scerni	02	D	CHAL	W
Schau	16	R	CHAL	L
Schwartz	17	D	INC	W
Shanahan	23	R	CHAL	L
Smith	17	D	INC	W
Stafford	25	D	CHAL	W
Starn	02	D	CHAL	W
Sternberg	11	R	CHAL	W
Talbott	06	D	CHAL	W
Villano	40	D	CHAL	W
Villapiano	11	D	INC	W
Watson	15	D	INC	W
Williams	16	R	CHAL	L
Wooton	03	D	CHAL	W
Zecker	34	R	INC	W

APPENDIX  
A-2 Candidates  
1989 Primary Election

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dist</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Inc/Chal</u>	<u>Win/Loss</u>
Abate	12	D	CHAL	W
Angarone	14	R	CHAL	W
Astheimer	17	R	CHAL	L
Cappuccino	16	D	CHAL	W
Cassano	37	R	CHAL	W
Colburn	08	R	INC	W
Corman	19	R	CHAL	W
Duch	36	D	INC	W
Eibeler	18	R	CHAL	W
Gill	36	D	INC	W
Hansen	26	D	CHAL	W
Hendrickson	09	R	INC	W
Hopkins	17	R	CHAL	W
Horvath	17	R	CHAL	L
Liebhauser	26	D	CHAL	W
Maglino	09	D	CHAL	W
Moran	09	R	INC	W
Peterson	10	R	CHAL	W
Reich	12	D	CHAL	W
Rickards	18	R	CHAL	W
Rocco	06	R	INC	W
Schneider	08	D	CHAL	W
Schneider	18	R	CHAL	L
Sheldon	18	R	CHAL	L
Shinn	08	R	INC	W
Shusted	06	R	INC	W
Singer	10	R	INC	W
Soproni	17	R	CHAL	W
Sweeney	08	D	CHAL	W
Toth	19	R	CHAL	W
Varela	37	R	CHAL	W
Wicklund	16	D	CHAL	W
Williams	09	D	CHAL	W

APPENDIX  
 1987 Assembly Candidates  
 20-Day Postelection Cumulative Reports

Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Result</u>
	1	Menendez	33	D	77,281.24	W
X	2	Martin	26	R	72,205.00	W
	3	Mironov	14	D	69,917.44	L
X	4	Zangari	28	D	62,176.62	W
X	5	Franks	22	R	60,593.00	W
	6	Moeller	23	R	59,615.00	L
X	7	Ogden	22	R	57,850.24	W
X	8	Loveys	26	R	53,464.15	W
X	9	Brown	29	D	51,050.00	W
	10	Schluter	23	R	49,576.19	W
	11	Kenny	33	D	45,185.00	W
X	12	Doria	31	D	43,388.68	W
X	13	Kelly	30	R	42,240.00	W
X	14	Girgenti	35	D	37,926.81	W
X	15	Frelinghuysen	25	R	36,511.85	W
X	16	Bennett	12	R	34,925.00	W
	17	Capizzi	38	D	32,928.57	W
X	18	Kamin	23	R	31,440.71	W
	19	Pascrell	35	D	29,175.26	W
	20	Bettinger	32	D	28,250.00	L

1987 Assembly Candidates  
Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Result</u>
	1	Mironov	14	D	68,362.31	L
	2	Moeller	23	R	57,499.14	L
	3	Schluter	23	R	48,890.95	W
X	4	Franks	22	R	36,559.75	W
	5	Menendez	33	D	31,282.15	W
X	6	Kamin	23	R	27,002.63	W
X	7	Loveys	26	R	26,808.62	W
X	8	Frnds. of Doria	31	D	26,200.00	W
	9	Maraziti	23	R	25,858.07	L
X	10	Hendrickson	9	R	25,357.73	W
	10	Gasser	10	R	25,357.73	W
X	10	Moran	9	R	25,357.73	W
X	10	Singer	10	R	25,357.73	W
X	14	Bennett	12	R	25,142.89	W
	15	Bettinger	32	D	24,796.99	L
	16	Kenny	33	D	24,407.13	W
X	17	Charles	31	D	22,292.73	W
X	18	Doria	31	D	20,509.50	W
	19	Altamura	33	D	17,363.02	L
	20	Lance	23	R	15,948.04	L

APPENDIX

1985 Assembly Candidates  
20-Day Postelection Cumulative Reports

Top Twenty Receivers

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Result</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	45,088.37	L
X	2	Ogden	22	R	37,484.22	W
X	3	Hardwick	21	R	32,040.32	W
X	4	Franks	22	R	31,342.00	W
X	5	Riley	4	D	31,060.00	W
X	6	Girgenti	35	D	29,825.00	W
X	7	Bryant	5	D	28,861.06	W
	8	Azzolina	13	R	26,850.00	W
X	9	Ranieri	33	D	25,919.42	W
X	10	Adubato, Jr.	30	D	25,720.00	W
X	11	Pellecchia	35	D	25,175.00	W
X	12	Deverin	20	D	24,424.00	W
X	13	Citz. Frelinghuysen	25	R	23,291.00	W
X	14	Hernandez	33	D	22,679.71	W
X	15	Doria	31	D	22,058.36	W
X	16	Rod	9	R	21,567.14	L
	17	Hudak	20	D	20,420.00	W
X	18	Ctlowski	19	D	19,059.78	W
X	19	Martin	26	R	18,420.00	W
X	20	McEnroe	27	D	18,134.29	W

1985 Assembly  
Top Twenty Spenders

<u>Incumbent</u>	<u>Ranking</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Result</u>
	1	Morrissy	27	D	42,682.91	L
X	2	Hardwick	21	R	32,190.32	W
X	3	Ranieri	33	D	24,249.39	W
X	4	Rod	9	R	20,280.49	L
X	5	Franks	22	R	19,935.05	W
X	6	McEnroe	27	D	17,611.97	W
X	7	Loveys	26	R	16,970.00	W
X	8	Hernandez	33	D	16,729.39	W
X	9	Chinnici	1	R	16,363.46	W
X	10	Cuprowski	32	D	15,466.40	W
	11	Hudak	20	D	15,154.46	W
X	12	Doria	31	D	14,087.50	W
	13	Karns	27	D	13,870.50	L
X	14	Pellecchia	35	D	12,646.56	W
X	15	Bryant	5	D	12,525.11	W
X	16	Deverin	20	D	12,283.64	W
X	17	Ford	10	D	12,012.59	W
X	18	Doyle	10	D	11,642.60	W
X	19	Martin	26	R	10,777.46	W
X	20	Girgenti	35	D	10,448.88	W

**20-Day Postelection Cumulative Report**  
**Receipts and Expenditures Totals**  
1989. 1987. 1985

	<u>1989</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1985</u>
Total Raised	\$1,692,966	\$1,736,955	\$1,021,146
Total Spent	1,100,733	980,022	582,913
Raised by Incumbents	1,433,273	1,063,509	686,252
Raised by Challengers	259,693	673,445	334,894
Spent by Incumbents	950,827	490,718	403,769
Spent by Challengers	149,906	489,304	179,144
Raised by Democrats	882,152	880,838	619,460
Raised by Republicans	810,814	856,117	401,686
Spent by Democrats	495,405	484,413	356,148
Spent by Republicans	605,328	495,609	226,764