



NEWS RELEASE

CONTACT: **FREDERICK M. HERRMANN, Ph.D.**
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
(609) 292-8700

FOR RELEASE:
December 12, 1995

The 20-day postelection report figures show that candidates for the General Assembly raised and spent 36 percent more in their 1995 General Election campaigns than they did in 1993.

Frederick M. Herrmann, Executive Director of the New Jersey Election Law Enforcement Commission, said today that receipts totalled \$12,720,512 in 1995 as compared to \$9,324,141 in 1993, and expenditures totalled \$10,671,042 in 1995 as compared to \$7,847,365 in 1993.

"While comparisons with 1993 are not exact because other elections (the Governor and State Senate) were held in 1993, the figures are still important," said Herrmann. "Possible explanations for the increases," said Herrmann, "include the historical upward direction of financial activity, the transference of "Personal" PAC money into candidate and joint candidates committees as required by the new law, and the fact that 1995 represented the first election since 1979 since the General Assembly ran alone."

Herrmann said that incumbents continue to enjoy the fundraising and spending advantage over challengers. The statistics show that incumbents raised \$7,819,713 in 1995 and spent \$5,990,119. Challengers, during the same period, raised \$4,900,799 and spent \$4,680,922. "However, when it comes to spending, the

gap between the incumbents and challengers narrowed," said Herrmann. In 1993, challengers spent 37 percent (\$2,881,612) of the total money spent (\$7,847,365) to incumbents 63 percent (\$4,965,753). In 1995, challengers spent 44 percent (\$4,680,922) while incumbents spent 56 percent (\$5,990,119) of the total money spent (\$10,671,042).

Republicans outraised and outspent Democrats. Republicans raised \$7,427,326 in 1995 versus Democrats \$5,274,689. Republicans spent \$6,199,792 versus Democrats \$4,453,692. When compared to 1993, Republicans raised 47 percent more in 1995 (\$7,427,326) than they did in 1993 (\$5,043,374). Republicans spent 49 percent more in 1995 than they did in 1993 (\$6,199,792 to \$4,173,815).

Herrmann noted that the activity of Independent candidates declined substantially from 1993 figures. In 1995, Independent candidates raised only \$18,497 and spent \$17,558. These figures represent an 86 percent decrease in fundraising and spending from 1993 when they raised \$131,226 and spent \$125,739.

Not surprisingly, winners outraised and outspent losers in 1995. Winners raised 66 percent (\$8,456,953) of the total raised and spent 62 percent (\$6,617,924) of the total spent. This trend follows a pattern set over the years.

There were four Statewide Ballot Questions in 1995. Reports were filed for all but one of the questions (Question #1 concerning the creation of a Redistricting Commission).

The "Political Committee of the Coalition for Better Transportation" raised \$406,355 and spent \$347,172 on Public Question #2, Dedication of Certain Existing Motor Fuels Taxes for the Transportation System in this State.

The "State Mandate/State Pay Coalition" raised \$11,551 and spent \$1,000 on Public Question #3, Constitutional Amendment Concerning Laws, Rules or Regulations

Which Provide for New or Expanded Programs or Services by School Boards, Counties or Municipalities.

The "New Jersey Coalition for a Clean and Green Tomorrow '95" raised \$224,883 and spent \$207,485 on Public Question #4, Green Acres, Farmland and Historic Preservation, and Blue Acres Bond Issue.

###

This press release is a compilation of figures reported to the Commission on the 20-day postelection reports. Only those reports submitted by 5:00 p.m. on December 6, 1995 are a part of this release. If a candidate or treasurer failed to file a report by 5:00 p.m. on December 6th, his/her figures are not included in this release, or the figures used will represent the last report filed.

The figures contained in this release are subject to change after the reports are more fully reviewed. Although the Commission has taken all reasonable precautions to prevent any mathematical or typographical errors, the possibility that some exist cannot be entirely eliminated. Copies of any report on file with the Commission are available for inspection during regular office hours. It should be noted that the Commission has the ability to arrange the data in various other formats upon request.

Also, please be reminded that certain candidates may maintain a total of two committees per election: a single candidate committee and a joint candidates committee. The figures representing the fundraising and spending by a particular candidate may, therefore, reflect the fundraising and spending of the candidate's single candidate committee plus a pro-rata portion of the candidate's joint candidates committee. For example, if a candidate has a joint candidates committee consisting of two candidates, one-half of the fundraising and spending by the joint candidates committee will be added to the candidate's single candidate committee figures.

Finally, candidates and committees may transfer funds among each other. Although these transfers are compiled in ELEC's statistics, they are not transactions which necessarily create new funds. Nevertheless, ELEC's figures create a useful indicator of overall fundraising and spending in the 1995 General election.

pr #2

20-Day Postelection Report
 Receipts and Expenditures Totals
 1995 and 1993

	<u>1995</u>	<u>1993</u>
Total Raised	\$ 12,720,512	\$9,324,141
Total Spent	10,671,042	7,847,365
Democrats Raised	5,274,689	4,149,541
Democrats Spent	4,453,692	3,547,811
Republicans Raised	7,427,326	5,043,374
Republicans Spent	6,199,792	4,173,815
Independents Raised	18,497	131,226
Independents Spent	17,558	125,739
Incumbents Raised	7,819,713	6,180,686
Incumbents Spent	5,990,119	4,965,753
Challengers Raised	4,900,799	3,143,455
Challengers Spent	4,680,922	2,881,612
Winners Raised	8,456,953	6,512,644
Winners Spent	6,617,924	5,140,924
Losers Raised	4,263,559	2,811,497
Losers Spent	4,053,117	2,706,441
Democratic Incumbents Raised	2,719,120	1,418,473
Democratic Incumbents Spent	2,025,805	1,023,798
Republican Incumbents Raised	5,100,593	4,762,214
Republican Incumbents Spent	3,964,314	3,941,955

20-Day Postelection Report
Receipts and Expenditures Totals
1995 and 1993

	<u>1995</u>	<u>1993</u>
Democratic Challengers Raised	2,555,569	2,731,068
Democratic Challengers Spent	2,427,887	2,524,012
Republican Challengers Raised	2,326,733	281,160
Republican Challengers Spent	2,235,478	231,861
Independent Challengers Raised	18,497	131,226
Independent Challengers Spent	17,558	125,739

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT RECEIPTS RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL RECEIPTS
1	ALLEN, D	7	R	C	W	\$ 373,904
1	DE SOPO, C	7	R	C	W	373,904
2	WARSH, J	18	R	I	L	337,277
3	PASCARELL, W	35	D	I	W	335,898
4	GEIST, G	4	R	I	W	304,788
5	DI GAETANO, P	36	R	I	W	288,876
6	SMITH, R	17	D	I	W	277,349
7	LUONGO, G	4	R	C	L	259,336
8	ROCCO, J	6	R	I	W	249,148
8	SOLOMON, L	6	R	I	L	249,148
9	CASTIGLIONE-DEGAN, A	6	D	C	L	230,262
9	GREENWALD, L	6	D	C	W	230,262
10	DUGAN, J	7	D	C	L	227,795
11	TOUSMAN, L	18	R	C	L	221,047
12	PETRILLO, S	7	D	I	L	206,060
13	DALTON, S	4	D	I	W	186,196
14	BLEE, F	2	R	I	W	184,405
15	LEFEVRE, K	2	R	C	W	184,207
16	CONSTANCE, J	15	R	C	L	180,760
17	CORODEMUS, S	11	R	I	W	172,217
18	MIKULAK, S	19	R	I	L	168,548
19	BUONO, B	18	D	I	W	163,539
20	STEELE, A	35	D	C	W	157,872
21	TETI, G	15	R	C	L	153,211
22	TURNER, S	15	D	I	W	150,799
23	OTOOLE, K	21	R	C	W	148,007
24	FOLEY, T	2	D	I	L	145,306
25	OROS, E	19	R	I	L	142,810
26	AZZOLINA, J	13	R	I	W	139,091
27	GIBSON, J	1	R	I	W	138,561
28	MANGANELLO, C	4	D	C	L	137,622
29	COLLINS, J	3	R	I	W	136,677
29	STUHLTRAGER, G	3	R	I	W	136,677
30	WISNIEWSKI, J	19	D	C	W	131,609
31	FRISCIA, A	19	D	C	W	129,489
32	ASSETTA, N	1	R	I	W	125,802

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT RECEIPTS RANKING

NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL RECEIPTS
33 ROMA, P	38	R	I	W	122,956
34 GILLESPIE, P	13	D	C	L	122,354 ***
35 IMPREVEDUTO, A	32	D	I	W	122,033
36 ZISA, C	37	D	I	W	120,530
37 COHEN, N	20	D	I	W	118,025
38 SMITH, T	11	R	I	W	106,564
39 HAYDEN, D	35	R	I	L	106,486
40 KRAMER, P	14	R	I	W	105,340
41 BAGGER, R	22	R	I	W	105,336
42 GONZALEZ, D	35	R	C	L	105,207
43 WEINBERG, L	37	D	I	W	104,519
44 WRIGHT, B	14	R	I	W	104,382
45 SMITH, J	13	R	I	W	104,170
46 BARNES, P	18	D	C	W	101,517
47 MC CANN, J	37	R	C	L	100,473
48 DORIA, J	31	D	I	W	100,105
49 ARNONE, M	12	R	I	W	100,008
50 WAKS, J	34	D	C	L	98,603
51 GARCIA, R	33	D	I	W	96,566
52 HUDGINS, B	2	D	C	L	94,259
53 ROBERTS, J	5	D	I	W	88,715
54 GUSCIORA, R	15	D	C	W	86,652
55 SULIGA, J	20	D	I	W	76,625
56 SALERNO, J	22	D	C	L	76,402
57 SAMUEL, G	22	D	C	L	76,402
58 SPAETH, M	13	D	C	L	75,739 *
59 HECK, R	38	R	I	W	75,637
60 MURPHY, C	26	R	I	W	71,552
61 HOLZAPFEL, J	10	R	I	W	70,826
62 WOLFE, D	10	R	I	W	70,826
63 DE CROCE, A	26	R	I	W	70,820
64 VANDERVALK, C	39	R	I	W	69,420
65 QUIGLEY, J	32	D	I	W	66,803
66 MAGAZZU, L	1	D	C	L	66,790 *
67 RAUH, J	1	D	C	L	66,790 *
68 ROMANO, L	33	D	I	W	66,356

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT RECEIPTS RANKING

NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL RECEIPTS
66 FELICE, N	40	R	I	W	\$ 62,176
67 GARRETT, E	24	R	I	W	61,241
68 LUSTBADER, M	21	R	I	W	60,930
69 ZECKER, G	34	R	I	W	60,907
70 GREEN, J	17	D	I	W	60,629
71 DONOVAN, M	11	D	C	L	60,309
72 BUCCO, A	25	R	I	W	59,747
73 CONNORS, C	9	R	I	W	59,353
73 MORAN, J	9	R	I	W	59,353
74 KELLY, J V	36	R	I	W	59,049
75 KAVANAUGH, W	16	R	I	W	55,842
76 CRUZ-PEREZ, N	5	D	I	W	55,408
77 BATEMAN, C	16	R	I	W	54,966
78 MATTISON, J	29	D	I	W	54,702
79 ROONEY, J	39	R	I	W	52,634
80 GREGG, G	24	R	I	W	50,502
81 JONES, L	27	D	I	W	47,037
82 COTTRELL, M	30	R	I	W	42,148
82 MALONE, J	30	R	I	W	42,148
83 BARK, M	8	R	I	W	40,839
83 BODINE, F	8	R	I	W	40,839
84 SPOTO, D	38	D	C	L	40,376
85 GILL, N	27	D	I	W	39,519
86 AUGUSTINE, A	22	R	I	W	39,098
87 CRECCO, M	34	R	I	W	38,425
88 RUSSO, D	40	R	I	W	36,975
89 CHARLES, J	31	D	I	W	36,402
90 FARRAGHER, C	12	R	I	W	32,578
91 LANCE, L	23	R	I	W	32,495
92 CARROLL, M	25	R	C	W	29,931
93 VAN HORN, F	23	D	C	L	29,235
94 DRESSEL, F	38	D	C	L	28,356
95 SLATTERY, K	21	D	C	L	26,061
96 KELLY, J W	36	D	C	L	24,706
96 POTTER, R	36	D	C	L	24,706
97 BASKIN, A	39	D	C	L	23,259

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT RECEIPTS RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL RECEIPTS
97	PALACIOS, K	39	D	C	L	\$ 23,259
98	HENNESSEY, T	32	R	C	L	22,560
99	REICH, L	12	D	C	L	22,379
100	DENNIS, B	27	R	C	L	22,109
101	SHAPIRO, J	27	R	C	L	21,192
102	BATES, R	8	D	C	L	20,388
102	KWASNIK, M	8	D	C	L	20,388
103	MYERS, C	23	R	C	W	19,598
104	BRAGEN, T	31	R	C	L	17,366
105	CARABALLO, W	28	D	C	W	16,191
106	MURRAY, P	11	D	C	L	15,135 *
107	WILLIAMS, H	37	R	C	L	14,774
108	ALVAREZ, R	33	R	C	L	14,433
109	CASTELLI, P	32	R	C	L	12,306 **
110	LUZZI, J	33	R	C	L	10,471
111	STANLEY, C	28	D	C	W	9,731
112	BRODECKI, D	5	R	C	L	9,150
113	SELBY, E	24	D	C	L	8,999
114	REVILLA, R	20	R	C	L	8,955 *
115	SEVRIN, R	10	D	C	L	8,677
115	STRADA, R	10	D	C	L	8,677
116	COURY, F	18	I	C	L	6,585
117	LARKIN, J	40	D	C	L	5,275
118	WILLIAMS, A	31	R	C	L	5,115 *
119	ROCCO, T	20	R	C	L	5,100
120	DE NARDO, M	17	R	C	L	5,064
120	ULLNICK, M	17	R	C	L	5,064
121	STURMFELS, L	30	D	C	L	4,892
122	D'ORIA, T	14	D	C	L	4,350
123	TRICARICO, J	16	D	C	L	4,345
124	LANDFIELD, S	25	D	C	L	3,998 *
124	YABLONSKY, S	25	D	C	L	3,998 *
125	BRENYCZ, E	28	R	C	L	3,490
126	IGNATOFF, M	16	D	C	L	2,815
127	BEST, M	40	I	C	L	2,798
128	GENTILE, J	3	D	C	L	2,323

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT RECEIPTS RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL RECEIPTS
128	RINK, H	3	D	C	L	\$ 2,323
129	GUZDEK, G	7	I	C	L	1,962 *
130	RICHEL, C	30	I	C	L	1,184
131	LYNCH, L	8	I	C	L	1,100
131	LYNCH, R	8	I	C	L	1,100
132	DOWNING, B	37	I	C	L	770 *
133	MARSHALL, L	9	I	C	L	760 **
134	ARMSTRONG, P	32	I	C	L	638
134	BATTAGLIA, Y	32	I	C	L	638
135	FEENEY, T	34	I	C	L	325 *
136	MC CASKEY, B	38	I	C	L	250 *
136	SOBOLEWSKI, B	38	I	C	L	250 *
137	MARSHALL, F	12	I	C	L	138 **
	****TOTALS****					\$ 12,720,512

* Includes activity through the 11-day preelection report.

** Includes activity through the 29-day preelection report.

*** Includes joint candidates committee activity through the 11-day preelection report.

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT EXPENDITURES RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
1	ALLEN, D	7	R	C	W	\$ 373,885
1	DE SOPO, C	7	R	C	W	373,885
2	WARSH, J	18	R	I	L	328,350
3	GEIST, G	4	R	I	W	311,167
4	LUONGO, G	4	R	C	L	272,573
5	ROCCO, J	6	R	I	W	243,813
5	SOLOMON, L	6	R	I	L	243,813
6	PASCARELL, W	35	D	I	W	242,251
7	CASTIGLIONE-DEGAN, A	6	D	C	L	230,231
7	GREENWALD, L	6	D	C	W	230,231
8	DUGAN, J	7	D	C	L	218,468
9	TOUSMAN, L	18	R	C	L	215,063
10	PETRILLO, S	7	D	I	L	204,324
11	BLEE, F	2	R	I	W	183,404
12	DALTON, S	4	D	I	W	182,969
13	LEFEVRE, K	2	R	C	W	180,925
14	CORODEMUS, S	11	R	I	W	171,946
15	CONSTANCE, J	15	R	C	L	164,020
16	MIKULAK, S	19	R	I	L	160,420
17	DI GAETANO, P	36	R	I	W	157,552
18	STEELE, A	35	D	C	W	155,942
19	SMITH, R	17	D	I	W	155,383
20	BUONO, B	18	D	I	W	153,110
21	TETI, G	15	R	C	L	151,068
22	OROS, E	19	R	I	L	139,780
23	FOLEY, T	2	D	I	L	138,092
24	MANGANELLO, C	4	D	C	L	130,873
25	WISNIEWSKI, J	19	D	C	W	129,574
26	FRISCIA, A	19	D	C	W	127,712
27	AZZOLINA, J	13	R	I	W	125,217
28	GIBSON, J	1	R	I	W	123,687
29	OTOOLE, K	21	R	C	W	122,126
30	ASSELTA, N	1	R	I	W	118,688
31	GILLESPIE, P	13	D	C	L	117,714 ***
32	SMITH, T	11	R	I	W	105,201
33	ZISA, C	37	D	I	W	100,973

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT EXPENDITURES RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
34	GARCIA, R	33	D	I	W	\$ 100,149
35	KRAMER, P	14	R	I	W	100,057
36	GONZALEZ, D	35	R	C	L	99,906
37	BARNES, P	18	D	C	W	99,903
38	WRIGHT, B	14	R	I	W	98,253
39	WAKS, J	34	D	C	L	98,223
40	MC CANN, J	37	R	C	L	89,669
41	HUDGINS, B	2	D	C	L	88,159
42	ROBERTS, J	5	D	I	W	83,287
43	WEINBERG, L	37	D	I	W	80,256
44	SALERNO, J	22	D	C	L	76,258
44	SAMUEL, G	22	D	C	L	76,258
45	HAYDEN, D	35	R	I	L	73,983
46	ROMA, P	38	R	I	W	73,554
47	HECK, R	38	R	I	W	71,689
48	BAGGER, R	22	R	I	W	70,672
49	SPAETH, M	13	D	C	L	70,370 *
50	DORIA, J	31	D	I	W	67,389
51	SMITH, J	13	R	I	W	65,537
52	TURNER, S	15	D	I	W	65,158
53	ROMANO, L	33	D	I	W	64,743
54	IMPREVEDUTO, A	32	D	I	W	61,513
55	SULIGA, J	20	D	I	W	61,477
56	MAGAZZU, L	1	D	C	L	60,659 *
56	RAUH, J	1	D	C	L	60,659 *
57	KELLY, J V	36	R	I	W	57,874
58	GUSCIORA, R	15	D	C	W	56,149
59	HOLZAPFEL, J	10	R	I	W	52,552
59	WOLFE, D	10	R	I	W	52,552
60	COHEN, N	20	D	I	W	50,675
61	CRUZ-PEREZ, N	5	D	I	W	49,337
62	DONOVAN, M	11	D	C	L	42,909
63	COLLINS, J	3	R	I	W	42,758
63	STUHLTRAGER, G	3	R	I	W	42,758
64	BUCCO, A	25	R	I	W	42,083
65	QUIGLEY, J	32	D	I	W	41,347

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT EXPENDITURES RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
66	ARNONE, M	12	R	I	W	\$ 41,085
67	BARK, M	8	R	I	W	40,839
67	BODINE, F	8	R	I	W	40,839
68	LUSTBADER, M	21	R	I	W	39,673
69	ZECKER, G	34	R	I	W	36,832
70	COTTRELL, M	30	R	I	W	35,764
70	MALONE, J	30	R	I	W	35,764
71	AUGUSTINE, A	22	R	I	W	35,083
72	CRECCO, M	34	R	I	W	35,031
73	SPOTO, D	38	D	C	L	34,685
74	DE CROCE, A	26	R	I	W	33,879
75	GREEN, J	17	D	I	W	33,177
76	GILL, N	27	D	I	W	32,086
77	GARRETT, E	24	R	I	W	31,992
78	VANDERVALK, C	39	R	I	W	31,669
79	FELICE, N	40	R	I	W	31,420
80	RUSSO, D	40	R	I	W	28,919
81	CONNORS, C	9	R	I	W	28,327
81	MORAN, J	9	R	I	W	28,327
82	CARROLL, M	25	R	C	W	27,404
83	MURPHY, C	26	R	I	W	27,391
84	FARRAGHER, C	12	R	I	W	27,152
85	SLATTERY, K	21	D	C	L	26,962
86	VAN HORN, F	23	D	C	L	26,768
87	ROONEY, J	39	R	I	W	26,557
88	DRESSEL, F	38	D	C	L	24,970
89	JONES, L	27	D	I	W	24,775
90	KELLY, J W	36	D	C	L	23,969
90	POTTER, R	36	D	C	L	23,969
91	BASKIN, A	39	D	C	L	23,658
91	PALACIOS, K	39	D	C	L	23,658
92	CHARLES, J	31	D	I	W	23,479
93	REICH, L	12	D	C	L	22,480
94	DENNIS, B	27	R	C	L	21,981
95	BATES, R	8	D	C	L	19,553
95	KWASNIK, M	8	D	C	L	19,553

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT EXPENDITURES RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
96	GREGG, G	24	R	I	W	19,168
97	LUZZI, J	33	R	C	L	19,084
98	SHAPIRO, J	27	R	C	L	18,970
99	BATEMAN, C	16	R	I	W	18,204
100	KAVANAUGH, W	16	R	I	W	17,380
101	BRAGEN, T	31	R	C	L	17,366
102	MYERS, C	23	R	C	W	16,942
103	LANCE, L	23	R	I	W	15,663
104	CARABALLO, W	28	D	C	W	15,027
105	WILLIAMS, H	37	R	C	L	14,260
106	HENNESSEY, T	32	R	C	L	11,121
107	CASTELLI, P	32	R	C	L	11,019 **
108	MURRAY, P	11	D	C	L	10,292 *
109	MATTISON, J	29	D	I	W	9,855
110	BRODECKI, D	5	R	C	L	9,144
111	SELBY, E	24	D	C	L	8,999
112	SEVRIN, R	10	D	C	L	8,170
113	STRADA, R	10	D	C	L	8,170
114	STANLEY, C	28	D	C	W	6,862
115	COURY, F	18	I	C	L	6,585
116	ROCCO, T	40	D	C	L	5,275
117	D'ORIA, T	20	R	C	L	5,100
118	DE NARDO, M	14	D	C	L	4,324
119	ULLNICK, M	17	R	C	L	4,117
120	STURMFELS, L	17	R	C	L	4,117
121	BRENYCZ, E	30	D	C	L	3,885
122	ALVAREZ, R	28	R	C	L	3,490
123	TRICARICO, J	33	R	C	L	3,344
124	LANDFIELD, S	16	D	C	L	3,287
125	YABLONSKY, S	25	D	C	L	3,015 *
126	WILLIAMS, A	25	D	C	L	3,015 *
127	BEST, M	31	R	C	L	3,003 *
128	IGNATOFF, M	40	I	C	L	2,879
129	GENTILE, J	16	D	C	L	2,406
130	RINK, H	3	D	C	L	2,323
131		3	D	C	L	2,323

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 20-DAY POSTELECTION REPORT EXPENDITURES RANKING

	NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
128	REVILLA, R	20	R	C	L	\$ 1,894 *
129	GUZDEK, G	7	I	C	L	1,712 *
130	RICHEL, C	30	I	C	L	1,166
131	LYNCH, L	8	I	C	L	1,092
131	LYNCH, R	8	I	C	L	1,092
132	MARSHALL, L	9	I	C	L	670 **
133	DOWNING, B	37	I	C	L	637 *
134	ARMSTRONG, P	32	I	C	L	494
134	BATTAGLIA, Y	32	I	C	L	494
135	MC CASKEY, B	38	I	C	L	241 *
135	SOBOLEWSKI, B	38	I	C	L	241 *
136	FEENEY, T	34	I	C	L	145 *
137	MARSHALL, F	12	I	C	L	111 **
				****TOTALS****		\$ 10,671,042

* Includes activity through the 11-day preelection report.

** Includes activity through the 29-day preelection report.

*** Includes joint candidates committee activity through the 11-day preelection report.

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 CANDIDATES NOT FILING A DETAILED REPORT BECAUSE OF DE MINIMUS ACTIVITY

NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L
JUNGHANS, W	3	I	C	L
MC FETRIDGE, R	3	I	C	L
DOOLEY, C	4	I	C	L
DOOLEY, T	4	I	C	L
DELGADO, J	5	R	C	L
NORMANDIN, S	7	I	C	L
NORMANDIN, C	7	I	C	L
PATTERSON, D	7	I	C	L
PRESSER, J	8	I	C	L
CUTANO, M	9	D	C	L
EISSING, N	9	I	C	L
WOLKOFSKY, M	9	D	C	L
JAMES, A	10	I	C	L
RICH, G	10	I	C	L
APPLEBY, T	11	I	C	L
BILY, P	11	I	C	L
CONNELLY, M	11	I	C	L
NIKOVITS, F	11	I	C	L
DOUGHERTY, R	12	I	C	L
PEZZULLO, R	12	I	C	L
SMITH, G	12	I	C	L
BOWE, J	13	I	C	L
LOMBARDI, N	13	I	C	L
HUNTOON, J	14	D	C	L
MAC DONALD, B	14	I	C	L
SULLY, W	14	I	C	L
BORCHERS, G	15	I	C	L
FIGUEROA, R	15	I	C	L
KIDDER, B	15	I	C	L
BOESELAGER, H	16	I	C	L
KOWAL, R	16	I	C	L
RUTKOWSKI, R	17	I	C	L
STURN, E	17	I	C	L

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 CANDIDATES NOT FILING A DETAILED REPORT BECAUSE OF DE MINIMUS ACTIVITY

NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L
HARSELL, B	19	I	C	L
ROCCA, T	19	I	C	L
CSURAY, D	20	I	C	L
DE LAURA, D	20	I	C	L
HIRSCHFELD, R	21	D	C	L
MARMO, F	21	I	C	L
GRILL, F	22	I	C	L
HUDAK, R	22	I	C	L
KELLY, M	23	I	C	L
WALLACE, P	23	I	C	L
SILVER, B	24	I	C	L
LONG, J	25	I	C	L
SPINOSA, J	25	I	C	L
BAUER, S	26	I	C	L
COLE, J	26	I	C	L
OLINSKI, P	26	D	C	L
SCHUMM, R	27	I	C	L
WINTER, J	27	I	C	L
CAMASTRA, T	28	I	C	L
CAMASTRA, C	28	I	C	L
OLSZEWSKI, C	28	R	C	L
JACKSON, T	29	I	C	L
LEWIS-POWDER, L	29	R	C	L
MILLER, R	29	I	C	L
FARLEY, A	30	I	C	L
STIPICK, J	30	I	C	L
DUPEY, K	31	I	C	L
FELTON, S	31	I	C	L
FITZPATRICK, D	32	I	C	L
SHAW, E	32	I	C	L
DAVILA, Y	33	I	C	L
ESPINAL, J	33	I	C	L
ARLAUS, R	34	I	C	L

APPENDIX
 CANDIDATES FOR GENERAL ASSEMBLY
 1995 GENERAL ELECTION
 CANDIDATES NOT FILING A DETAILED REPORT BECAUSE OF DE MINIMUS ACTIVITY

NAME	DISTRICT	PARTY	INC/ CHL	W/L
PETRILLO, A	34	D	C	L
FRANTANTONI, V	36	I	C	L
O'NEIL, R	37	I	C	L
DESCIPIOLO, A	39	I	C	L
TAN, K	39	I	C	L
WOLF, L	39	I	C	L
ETLER, M	40	D	C	L